## **Designing Hierarchies for Optimal Hyperbolic Embedding**



Melika Ayoughi, Max van Spengler, Pascal Mettes, Paul Groth

**Problem Statement** 



**Knowledge graphs and ontologies** 





**Existing works: What's the best method?** 

Hyperbolic: ideal geometry for hierarchies





**INE** lab

**Our work: What's the best hierarchy?** 

**Controlled Experiments** 

**Methods** 

**Diverse Hierarchies** 

**Evaluation Metrics** 



## **Results**

## All methods except Poincaré: wide and shallow, lower distortion!



		$\mathbf{G}$	radien	t-base	$\mathbf{d}$	Construction-based						
	Poincaré			Entailment			Precomputed			Hadamard		
	$\overline{D_{avg}}$	$D_{wc}$	MAP	$\overline{D_{avg}}$	$D_{wc}$	MAP	$\overline{D_{avg}}$	$D_{wc}$	MAP	$\overline{D_{avg}}$	$D_{wc}$	MAP
Balanced												
2-ary	0.459	164.777	0.866	0.914	434.177	0.439	0.259	1.539	1	0.207	1.297	1
3-ary	1.085	183.974	0.770	0.878	316.338	0.217	0.156	1.252	1	0.127	1.155	1
4-ary	1.471	390.397	0.671	0.855	323.967	0.183	0.133	1.201	1	0.103	1.121	1
5-ary	1.770	336.711	0.534	0.837	383.626	0.169	0.120	1.201	1	0.080	1.092	1
Imbalanced												
Binomial	1.439	69.530	0.171	0.863	224.731	0.304	0.249	1.542	1	0.186	1.257	1
BA	2.791	3607.95	0.020	0.802	731.914	0.231	0.140	1.329	1	-	-	-

Better to have a wide imbalanced tree than a deep balanced one!

Significant increase in the number of nodes only moderately impacts distortion



		G	radion	t-hase	ad	Construction-based						
		Poincaré		Entailment			Precomputed			Hadamard		
	$\overline{D_{avg}}$	$D_{wc}$	MAP	$\overline{D_{avg}}$	$D_{wc}$	MAP	$\overline{D_{avg}}$	$D_{wc}$	MAP	$\overline{D_{avg}}$	$D_{wc}$	MAP
Pizza												
Original	3.321	7066.671	0.059	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
+ single inheritance	3.387	10509.346	0.051	0.499	511.594	0.195	0.234	1.538	1	0.126	1.180	1
+ reorganized	3.422	9343.566	0.045	0.452	1454.972	0.164	0.167	1.329	1	0.089	1.118	1
ImageNet												
Original	0.800	3083 563	0.087	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

	Gradient-based							Construction-based						
	Poincaré			${f Entailment}$			Precomputed			Hadamard				
	256	512	1024	256	512	1024	256	512	1024	256	512	1024		
Balanced														
2-ary	0.880	0.459	0.229	0.816	0.914	0.960	0.220	0.259	0.300	0.176	0.207	0.240		
3-ary	1.439	1.085	0.752	0.742	0.878	0.940	0.124	0.156	0.160	0.102	0.127	0.130		
4-ary	2.129	1.471	1.092	0.695	0.855	0.928	0.102	0.133	0.137	0.079	0.103	0.105		
5-ary	2.472	1.770	1.385	0.657	0.837	0.919	0.115	0.120	0.156	0.078	0.080	0.103		
Imbalanced														
Binomial	1.736	1.439	0.988	0.717	0.863	0.932	0.207	0.249	0.298	0.161	0.186	0.211		
BA	3.444	2.791	2.206	0.595	0.802	0.903	0.108	0.140	0.178	-	-	-		

Origin 3303.003 0.001  $+ \text{ single inheritance } 0.722 \ 2745.952 \ 0.220 \ 0.961 \ 2364.827 \ 0.293 \ 0.725 \ 885.622 \ 0.725 \ 0.297 \ 1.647$  $1.008 \ 12715.625 \ 0.156 \ 0.955 \ 4096.000 \ 0.164 \ 0.507 \ 2.698$ + reorganized  $0.171 \ 1.232$ 

- Poincaré: only method to handle multiple inheritance
- II. Hierarchy reorganization leads to better distortion and MAP

## **Recommendations**

 Design hierarchies for width ✓ Do not worry about balance ✓Hyperbolic embeddings can handle additional node complexity ✓ Avoid multiple inheritance